















also Reshef Meir for helpful discussions on the topic of this work. This work was partially supported by Israel Science Foundation grant #1227/12, Israel Ministry of Science and Technology grant #3-6797, the Google Inter-University Center for Electronic Markets and Auctions, and Intel Collaborative Research Institute for Computational Intelligence (ICRI-CI).

## 8. REFERENCES

- [1] J. J. Bartholdi III and J. B. Orlin. Single transferable vote resists strategic voting. *Social Choice & Welfare*, 8:341–354, 1991.
- [2] J. J. Bartholdi III, C. A. Tovey, and M. A. Trick. The computational difficulty of manipulating an election. *Social Choice & Welfare*, 6(3):227–241, 1989.
- [3] C. Daskalakis, G. Schoenebeck, G. Valiant, and P. Valiant. On the complexity of Nash equilibria of action-graph games. In *Proceedings of the ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, 2009.
- [4] F. De Sinopoli. On the generic finiteness of equilibrium outcomes in plurality games. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 34(2):270–286, February 2001.
- [5] Y. Desmedt and E. Elkind. Equilibria of plurality voting with abstentions. In *Proceedings of the 11th ACM conference on Electronic commerce (EC '10)*, pages 347–356, Cambridge, Massachusetts, June 2010.
- [6] A. Dhillon and B. Lockwood. When are plurality rule voting games dominance-solvable? *Games and Economic Behavior*, 46(1):55–75, 2004.
- [7] B. Dutta and J.-F. Laslier. Costless honesty in voting. in 10th International Meeting of the Society for Social Choice and Welfare, Moscow, 2010.
- [8] B. Dutta and A. Sen. Nash implementation with partially honest individuals. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 74(1):154–169, 2012.
- [9] M. Duverger. *Political Parties: Their Organization and Activity in the Modern State*. Methuen Publishing, 1959.
- [10] B. Escoffier, J. Lang, and M. Öztürk. Single-peaked consistency and its complexity. In *Proceedings of the 2008 conference on ECAI 2008: 18th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 366–370, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2008.
- [11] T. Feddersen and W. Pesendorfer. Voting behavior and information aggregation in elections with private information. *Econometrica*, 65(5):1029–1058, September 1997.
- [12] A. Gibbard. Manipulation of voting schemes. *Econometrica*, 41(4):587–602, July 1973.
- [13] A. Gibbard. Manipulation of schemes that mix voting with chance. *Econometrica*, 45(3):665–681, April 1977.
- [14] A. X. Jiang and K. Leyton-Brown. Bayesian Action-Graph Games. In *Proceedings of the 24th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*, 2010.
- [15] A. X. Jiang, K. Leyton-Brown, and N. A. Bhat. Action-graph games. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 71:141–173, 2011.
- [16] K. Kawai and Y. Watanabe. Inferring strategic voting. Technical report, Northwestern, 2010.
- [17] M. Kearns, M. Littman, and S. Singh. Graphical models for game theory. In *Proceedings of the 17th Conference in Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI)*, 2001.
- [18] J.-F. Laslier and J. W. Weibull. A strategy-proof condorcet jury theorem. forthcoming, *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 2012.
- [19] O. Lev and J. S. Rosenschein. Convergence of iterative voting. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS)*, volume 2, pages 611–618, Valencia, Spain, June 2012.
- [20] R. D. McKelvey and R. E. Wendell. Voting equilibria in multidimensional choice spaces. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 1(2):144–158, May 1976.
- [21] R. Meir, M. Polukarov, J. S. Rosenschein, and N. R. Jennings. Convergence to equilibria of plurality voting. In *Proceedings of the 24th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pages 823–828, Atlanta, July 2010.
- [22] M. Messner and M. K. Polborn. Miscounts, Duverger’s law and Duverger’s hypothesis. Technical Report 380, IGIER (Innocenzo Gasparini Institute for Economic Research), Bocconi University, 2011.
- [23] R. B. Myerson and R. J. Weber. A theory of voting equilibria. *The American Political Science Review*, 87(1):102–114, March 1993.
- [24] R. W. Porter, E. Nudelman, and Y. Shoham. Simple search methods for finding a Nash equilibrium. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 63:642–662, 2009.
- [25] A. D. Procaccia. Can approximation circumvent Gibbard-Satterthwaite? In *Proceedings of the 24th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pages 836–841, Atlanta, Georgia, July 2010.
- [26] R. Rosenthal. A class of games possessing pure-strategy Nash equilibria. *Int. J. Game Theory*, 2:65–67, 1973.
- [27] M. A. Satterthwaite. Strategy-proofness and Arrow’s conditions: Existence and correspondence theorems for voting procedures and social welfare functions. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 10(2):187–217, April 1975.
- [28] D. R. M. Thompson, S. Leung, and K. Leyton-Brown. Computing nash equilibria of action-graph games via support enumeration. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Internet and Network Economics (WINE)*, 2011.
- [29] L. Xia and V. Conitzer. Stackelberg voting games: Computational aspects and paradoxes. In *Proceedings of the 24th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pages 805–810, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 2010.
- [30] L. Xia, M. Zuckerman, A. D. Procaccia, V. Conitzer, and J. S. Rosenschein. Complexity of unweighted coalitional manipulation under some common voting rules. In *Proceedings of the 21st International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pages 348–353, Pasadena, California, USA, July 2009.