Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Hierarchical self-organization of cytoskeletal active networks

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2012 Phys. Biol. 9 026005 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1478-3975/9/2/026005)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 132.72.216.104 The article was downloaded on 05/04/2012 at 08:02

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Phys. Biol. 9 (2012) 026005 (9pp)

# Hierarchical self-organization of cytoskeletal active networks

# Daniel Gordon<sup>1</sup>, Anne Bernheim-Groswasser<sup>2,5</sup>, Chen Keasar<sup>1,3</sup> and Oded Farago<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Science, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel

<sup>3</sup> Department of Life Sciences, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel

<sup>4</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel

<sup>5</sup> Ilse Katz Institute for Nanoscale Science and Technology, Ben Gurion University

of the Negev, Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel

E-mail: ofarago@bgu.ac.il and bernheim@bgu.ac.il

Received 22 August 2011 Accepted for publication 22 February 2012 Published 4 April 2012 Online at stacks.iop.org/PhysBio/9/026005

#### Abstract

The structural reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton is facilitated through the action of motor proteins that crosslink the actin filaments and transport them relative to each other. Here, we present a combined experimental-computational study that probes the dynamic evolution of mixtures of actin filaments and clusters of myosin motors. While on small spatial and temporal scales the system behaves in a very noisy manner, on larger scales it evolves into several well distinct patterns such as bundles, asters and networks. These patterns are characterized by junctions with high connectivity, whose formation is possible due to the organization of the motors in 'oligoclusters' (intermediate-size aggregates). The simulations reveal that the self-organization process proceeds through a series of hierarchical steps, starting from local microscopic moves and ranging up to the macroscopic large scales where the steady-state structures are formed. Our results shed light on the mechanisms involved in processes such as cytokinesis and cellular contractility, where myosin motors organized in clusters operate cooperatively to induce the structural organization of cytoskeletal networks.

S Online supplementary data available from stacks.iop.org/PhysBio/9/026005/mmedia

## 1. Introduction

Living cells need to impose inner order, transport organelles from site to site, withstand external pressures and propagate themselves to different locations [1]. In all of these processes, the cell cytoskeleton is a key player. The cytoskeleton is an out-of-equilibrium 3D network of polar elastic filaments that constantly remodels. This is achieved via a large number of associated proteins that regulate the rates of assembly and disassembly of the cytoskeletal filaments (treadmilling) [2]. The dynamics of the cytoskeleton is also governed by the action of motor proteins. These molecular machines convert chemical energy into mechanical work to generate driving forces and movement [3]. Motor proteins that move along polar filaments are used to transport cargos in cells [4]. Motors may also serve as (active) linkers between cytoskeletal filaments, which give rise to complex structural and dynamical selforganization phenomena [5–7].

Because of the plethora of factors and cellular components involved in cytoskeletal organization, much of our current knowledge of the associated processes comes from controlled, *in vitro*, experiments of simplified reconstituted model systems [8]. Such simple model systems, consisting of elastic filaments and their associated molecular motors, can exhibit a rich variety of structural patterns including asters, vortices, rings, bundles and networks [5, 6, 9, 10]. From a theoretical perspective, it is impossible to model these structurally complex systems in full atomistic detail. The existing models, therefore, are based on phenomenological descriptions which address the problem on larger length- and time-scales. Earlier models were based on the introduction of continuum mean field kinetic equations to describe the dynamics of filaments moving relatively to each other due to the presence of cross-linking motors [11–13]. More recently, a new approach was proposed, treating filamentmotor systems as a viscoelastic polar active gel [14, 15]. In these generalized hydrodynamic theories, the dynamics is inferred from symmetry considerations or by coarse-graining the mesoscopic kinetic equations. Several inhomogeneous structures have been identified as steady-state solutions of the macroscopic equations, including asters, vortices and spirals [16–19]. The same structures have also been predicted through a somewhat different approach involving coupled dynamical equations for the filaments orientation field and motors density [20, 21].

Coarse-grained (CG) simulations that use simplified representations of the participating molecules and the interactions between them have also been employed for studying the dynamics of active gels. Nédélec and coworkers used such simulations to investigate the dynamics in systems consisting of microtubules (MTs) and kinesin-like motors [22, 23]. In those simulations the MTs were represented as inextensible elastic polar rods and motors were modeled as small mechanical machines that walk over the MTs, bind them to each other and lead to their relative movement. Using this model they managed to reproduce asters and vortices, study aster formation dynamics and examine the effects of changing the probability of motor disconnection from the end of a filament. More recently, Head et al studied the active self-organization of motor-filament systems using a CG model that also takes into account the excluded volume interactions between the polar filaments [24]. Due to the excluded volume effects, the polar filaments exhibit a nematic ordering that breaks down (albeit not into aster-like structures) at high motor densities. Aster formation has been observed in simulations of three-dimensional elastic networks with crosslinks (implicitly representing the motors) that can break and reform [25].

In this paper, we present a combined computational and experimental study of the self-organization behavior of actin filaments driven by myosin II molecular motors. While in all other previously studied model systems the motor units acted as cross-linkers between two filaments, here we consider a very different scenario. The myosin II motors in our model system are organized in mesoscopic clusters of several (typically 10-20) motors. By grouping several highly non-processive myosin II motors into clusters, one generates processive elements useful for cytoskeleton remodeling. We use fluorescence microscopy and molecular dynamics simulations to investigate the self-organization of polar actin filaments by such motor clusters. Our simulation results, which agree well with the experimental observations, provide a molecular level picture of how the motors are involved in the association, binding and transport of filaments. Our results reveal very noisy dynamics on the small spatial- and temporal-scales, which is attributed to the non-processive nature of individual D Gordon et al

myosin II motors. Nevertheless, the outcome of these stochastic dynamics is a set of well-characterized steady-state structures such as bundles, asters and cross-linked networks, at larger scales.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Experimental setup

Actin was purified from rabbit skeletal muscle acetone powder [26]. Purification of myosin II skeletal muscle is done according to standard protocols [27]. Recombinant fascin [28] was expressed in *E. coli* as a GST fusion protein. Actin was labeled on Cys374 with Alexa 568 (Invitrogen).

The motility medium contained 10 mM HEPES, pH = 7.67, 1.7 mM Mg-ATP, 5.5 mM DTT, 0.12 mM Dabco (1,4 diazabicyclo[2,2,2]octane), 0.13 M KCl, 1.6 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1% BSA, an ATP regenerating system 0.1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> Creatine Kinase and 1 mM Creatine Phosphate, 20  $\mu$ M of G-actin and various concentrations of myosin II and fascin.

The actin assembly was monitored by fluorescence using an Olympus  $71 \times$  microscope (Olympus Co., Japan). The labeled actin fraction was 0.04 and the temperature of the experiments was 22 °C. Time-lapse images were acquired using an Andor DV887 EMCCD camera (Andor Co., England). Data acquisition and analysis were performed using METAMORPH (Universal Imaging Co.). To prevent protein adsorption, the glass coverslips were coated with an inert polymer (PEG-mal, Nectar Co.) according to a standard protocol [29].

#### 2.2. Computer model and simulations

In our CG model, only the actin and myosin are simulated explicitly. The other components will be considered only implicitly by their effect on the system's behavior. The presence of solvent is implicated by allowing non-bound myosin motors to diffuse and by overdamping force-driven movements. ATP hydrolysis is represented by the ability of myosin motors to move along filaments which they are connected to. The presence of fascin is represented by rendering the actin filaments as stiff bundles of fascin-linked filaments. Thus, we represent fascin-linked bundles of actin filaments as single units. Along this manuscript we regard them as 'filaments' to avoid confusion with the dynamic, ATPhydrolysis-driven, bundles whose formation is an emergent characteristic of our system (see below). Single actin filaments (which are the constituents of our 'filaments') will be referred to as F-actin. Similarly, aggregates of myosin motors are represented as single motor units, with the number of motor heads and the total length of the motor aggregate defined by parameters. Finally, we have decided to simulate the actinmyosin system as pseudo-two-dimensional, which implies that our model does not include any excluded volume effect. This way, the common situation of two filaments, with the one lying on top of the other, is represented by two crossing filaments that do not interact.

Actin filaments are represented by linear chains of N + 1 nodes, with one of the end nodes defined as the 'plus' end

and the other as the 'minus' end. Each pair of neighboring nodes represents a segment of the filament with predefined length  $l_{f,0}$ . The geometry and elastic properties of the filaments are governed by two energy terms. The first term applies a Hookean spring between each pair of neighboring nodes, keeping their mutual distance close to the predefined rest distance  $l_{f,0}$ :

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{2}k_f(|x_{i+1} - x_i| - l_{f,0})^2, \tag{1}$$

where  $k_f$  is the filament spring constant and  $x_i$  is the coordinate of the *i*th node (vector notation is omitted for brevity). The second energy term, which represents the bending rigidity of the filament, assigns the following energy term with each node (except for the two edges nodes):

$$E_2 = \frac{1}{2}A \left(2x_i - x_{i+1} - x_{i-1}\right)^2, \qquad (2)$$

where A is related to the filament's persistence length,  $\xi$ , by  $A = (\xi k_B T)/2(l_{f,0})$ . The total energy of the filament is the sum of the above two terms for all the segments and nodes, and the associated force acting on the *i*th node is calculated by  $f_i = -\partial E/\partial x_i$ .

In our model, actin filaments do not interact directly, but rather affect each other through the forces generated by the motors that connect them. The motors are grouped in clusters, each of which includes  $2n_h = 20$  individual motors (referred to as 'motor heads'). The motor cluster which is represented by a long rod whose length  $l_m$  is governed by an elastic energy of the similar form (but with different parameters) to equation (1)

$$E_1^m = \frac{1}{2}k_m(l_m - l_{m,0})^2.$$
 (3)

 $n_h$  motor heads emanate from each end of this rod. The motor heads stochastically bind to nearby filaments, with each motor head connected to no more than one filament at a time and no more than max<sub>h</sub> heads from the same motor cluster connected to the same filament. Motor heads, even from the same end of the cluster, can bind simultaneously to different actin filaments. Once the motor is connected, it acts like a Gaussian spring (similar to a Hookean spring, but with no rest length) with spring constant  $k_h$ , and generates a force between the (end of the) motor cluster and the attachment point on the filament. Since the filament does not act at the attachment point x, but rather is split between nodes  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  on both sides of the attachment point  $(x_{i \leq x} \leq x_{i+1})$ . The force on each node is given by the lever rule [23]:  $\vec{F_i} = \vec{F} | \frac{x - x_{i+1}}{x_i - x_{i+1}} | = \vec{F} - \vec{F_i}$ . Once connected, a motor head starts advancing toward

Once connected, a motor head starts advancing toward the filament's plus end. A motor head has a characteristic speed  $v_0$ , which is the speed at which a motor progresses along a filament in the absence of an externally applied force. While moving along the filament, the length of the Gaussian spring connecting the motor head to the associated motor cluster changes, which leads to changes in the force applied on the motor head. We employ the commonly used linear relationship between the moving velocity and the projection of the applied force along the direction of motion,  $F_{\text{axis}} = \vec{F} \cdot (\vec{x}_{i+1} - \vec{x}_i) / |\vec{x}_{i+1} - \vec{x}_i|$  (see, e.g., [23]):

$$v = \begin{cases} 0 & F_{axis} \leqslant -f_0 \\ v_0 (1 + F_{axis}/f_0) & -f_0 < F_{axis} < f_0, \\ 2v_0 & F_{axis} > f_0 \end{cases}$$
(4)

where  $f_0$  is the stalling force. The forces generated by the attached motor heads drive the motion of both the actin filaments and the motor aggregates. The motion of these elements is determined by calculating the forces acting on the nodes of the filaments and on the two ends of each motor cluster. The motion of all nodes (both of the filaments and motors) is treated as highly overdamped, i.e. a linear relationship is assumed between the instantaneous force and velocity:  $v_i = F_i/\gamma$ , with different drag coefficients  $\gamma$  for the filaments and motor aggregates. For the motor aggregate, a random Gaussian force (white noise) is also introduced, whose magnitude is set so that the diffusion coefficient (along each Cartesian direction):  $D = 2k_BT/\gamma$ . Due to their large size, the diffusion of the filaments is ignored in the simulations. The position of each nodes is determined by  $\Delta x_i = v_i \Delta t$ , where  $\Delta t$  is the time step of the MD simulations. Periodic boundary conditions were employed throughout the simulations. Generally speaking, the dynamics of the motor aggregates in our simulations is largely determined by the forces of the motor heads, whereas the diffusion mechanism makes only very little impact. Nevertheless, introducing white noise is essential to prevent disconnected motor aggregates from getting stuck in regions devoid of filaments. Since the objective of this work is to investigate the self-organization dynamics of filaments (to which distant disconnected motors do not contribute), and in order to reduce the computational toll associated with the simulations of 'isolated' motor aggregates, we decided to accelerate the diffusion of such motors in a non-physical manner. This is achieved by increasing the amplitude of the random white noise for motors located at a distance larger than some threshold from a filament, which causes all the motors to be drawn toward the filaments and essentially eliminates the existence of isolated motors.

The transition probabilities of motor heads between the attached and detached states are governed by the following rules. An unbound motor becomes connected to a filament with probability  $p_{con}$  per time step if and only if the distance between them is smaller than  $d_c$ . The disconnecting probability per time step depends on the force f exerted on the motor. It is given by  $p_{min-dis}$  at small forces  $f < f_{dis}$ ; and at larger forces grows exponentially with the elastic energy stored in the Gaussian spring between the motor and the filament:

 $p_{dis} =$ 

$$\begin{cases} p_{\min-\text{dis}} & f \leq f_{\text{dis}} \\ p_{\min-\text{dis}} & f_{\text{dis}} < f < \\ \prod \\ \exp(f^2 - f_{\text{dis}}^2/2k_hk_BT) & \sqrt{f_{\text{dis}}^2 - 2k_hk_BT\ln p_{\min-\text{dis}}} \\ 1 & f \geqslant \sqrt{f_{\text{dis}}^2 - 2k_hk_BT\ln p_{\min-\text{dis}}} \end{cases} .$$
(5)



**Figure 1.** Representation of a filament (black line with a solid and an open circle at the ends) and motors (thin red line) in the figures throughout the paper.

The model includes many parameters whose values have been determined from both physical and computational considerations. A detailed discussion of these issues, including a list of the model parameters and their chosen values, can be found in the supporting information (SI) text 1 (available from http://stacks.iop.org/PhysBio/9/026005/mmedia) (see also [30]). The results of our simulations are discussed and illustrated by figures in the following section. In the figures throughout the paper, each filament is represented as a black rod (see figure 1). The plus and minus end nodes are represented by a solid and an open circle, respectively. Motor aggregates, which in our model are represented by a rod with motor heads emerging from its both ends, are depicted as short red lines. The motor heads are not shown due to their very small size. Filaments and motor aggregates are drawn in the figures at the same scale.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Local transport of two filaments

The forces driving the self-organization of active gels are generated by clusters of motor proteins that walk over the polar cytoskeleton filaments, bind them to each other and lead to their relative movement. These clusters of non-processive motors tend to generate noisy dynamics, as is evident in SI movies 1 and 2 (available from http://stacks.iop.org/PhysBio/9/026005/mmedia) taken from the simulations and experiments, respectively. The irregular dynamics arise from the frequent changes in the forces exerted by the non-processive motors, which stochastically attach to and detach from the filaments causing the latter to move in a very discontinuous manner (see figure 2(A)). Over timescales much larger than the characteristic binding time of motor heads, the motor clusters self-organize the system into mesoscopic patterns. Self-organization proceeds through several types of basic local configuration. The formation of these configurations stems from the tendency of motor heads to propagate toward the 'plus' ends of the filaments which, in turn, causes two bound filaments to move relative to each other until their plus ends coincide. When the two filaments are aligned anti-parallel to each other, the motor heads connected to these two filaments move in opposite directions, as shown in figure 2(B). When the two filaments are aligned in parallel



**Figure 2.** (*A*) Three sequential snapshots showing the dynamics of several filaments. In this sequence, we see the discontinuous motion of two filaments whose minus ends (open circles indicated by an arrow) move away from and then back to each other. (*B*) The relative motion of two anti-parallel filaments. At the end of the process the two filaments overlap at their plus ends. (*C*) The relative motion of two parallel filaments. The plus ends of the two filaments are indicated by purple and green solid circles. In the first three snapshots, only the motors are moving (red arrow) along the filaments. Once the plus end of one of the filaments (green) is reached, the plus end of the other (purple) starts to move in its direction (purple arrow), until they coincide. (*D*)–(*F*) The evolution from the 'X', through a 'T', and into a 'V' configuration. The red arrows indicate the motion of the motors, while the purple and green arrows show the motion of the filaments. (*G*) Transformation of a 'V' configuration into a parallel bundle.

directions (figure 2(C)), the motor heads propagate in the same direction. In the second case the filaments do not move until the plus end of one of them is reached. The motor heads then attempt to reach the plus end of the other, pulling it toward the plus end of the first one. A third possibility is that the two filaments are not aligned along a common axis. In this case, the relative orientation of the two filaments evolves from an 'X configuration' (figure 2(D)) in which the two cross-linked filaments intersect, to a 'T configuration' (figure 2(E)) in which the plus end of one of the filaments is brought into contact with the other filament. The final shape will be a 'V configuration' (figure 2(F)), which is achieved once the motors reach the plus ends of both filaments. Over somewhat larger timescales, two filaments which are in the 'V configuration' may rotate and align parallel to each other. This process resembles the closing of a 'zipper'. It is initiated by the motors that accumulate at the 'V junction' and locally pull the filaments toward each other, effectively increasing the contact region between the filaments (figure 2(G)) and reducing the angle of the 'V configuration'. The process proceeds when new motors arrive at the contact region and cause its expansion until the two filaments become parallel. The formation of parallel arrangements of filaments was recently observed in vitro by Thoresen et al [31]. It has also been shown that above a critical myosin density, bundles of parallel filaments are contractile. The formation of bundles and their contractility are discussed in the following subsection.

#### 3.2. Bundles and asters

Over even longer periods of time, the system self-organizes into structures of multiply connected filaments. The most abundant ones are 'bundles' and 'asters'. Bundles consist of filaments that collectively align in both parallel and antiparallel orientations. The properties of such active-filament bundles have been studied theoretically by several groups over the past decade. It has been argued that in such bundles, the dynamics depicted in figures 2(B) (figure 2(C)) during which anti-parallel (parallel) filaments are driven in the opposite (same) directions would result in 'polarity sorting' [12, 32]. In the steady state of a fully sorted bundle, the filaments split into two groups, each of which consists of filaments with similar orientation (parallel filaments). The two groups, which are oriented anti-parallel to each other, are connected at their plus ends by the motors creating a structure resembling the one depicted in figure 2(B) for two anti-parallel filaments (but with two bundles rather than two filaments). This process is indeed seen in our simulation, although in many cases it fails to run to completion. In a partially completed polarity sorting process, several bundle 'subunits' with opposite orientations are formed, but at some point their progress in opposite direction is stalled and they are left with partial overlap. The origin of this phenomenon is probably the simultaneous binding of motor heads from the same end of the motor aggregate to several filaments whose plus ends are located at opposite sides of the attachment point. (The same scenario can, obviously, be encountered at both ends of the motor aggregate, which would aggravate the problem.) Because the individual motor heads attempt to move in opposite directions, the motor aggregate is stalled, and the motor heads detach from the filaments before making any substantial progress. Without such a progress, the filaments to which the motor heads are connected do not move either, and the whole polarity sorting process gets stuck. The result is a 'dead-locked' bundle like the one shown, for example, in figure 3(A). Note in the figure the relatively high fraction of motor aggregates which are oriented perpendicular to the filaments. In this perpendicular configuration, the motor heads do not exert forces that lead to relative sliding of the filaments.

Asters are 'star-like' structures made up of filaments with their plus ends located at the center of the star. Such structures represent one of the common self-organized patterns in motor-filament systems. An aster is essentially a collection of 'V configurations' (see figure 2(F)) of multiply connected filaments with a joint 'core'. The myosin II multi-headed aggregates serve as linkers that stabilize the aster structure. They accumulate at the 'core' of the aster and bind to several 'arms' simultaneously. Figure 3(B) shows an example of an aster formed in our simulations. In this particular example, the aster has six arms radiating out from the aster core at equal angles from each other. Note that each arm of the aster is a bundle consisting of several filaments. In our simulations, we usually observed asters with three to seven arms, with clear preference to four-arm asters with right angles between the arms. In fact, we noted that asters with a greater number of arms tended, over time, to transform into four-arm ones, as demonstrated in figures 3(C)-(E). The merging of arms is driven by motors that propagate toward the core. Close to their destination, they manage to bind to two arms simultaneously and lead to their rotation in a manner resembling the 'zippering' of a V-configuration shown in figure 2(G). Our experimental results show that the angular distribution of the arms is rather homogeneous with many arms emanating from the aster core (see figure 3(F), taken from our experiments). Asters with a small number of arms have been observed in the presence of methyl cellulose which promotes the collapse of the arms into few thick bundles [10], but not in our experiments and other works. In our simulations, the collapse of arms is allowed due to the lack of excluded volume interactions between the filaments. Finally, we note that aster formation may be regarded as a two-dimensional polarity sorting process. As in the one-dimension case of bundles discussed in the previous paragraph, this process may not be fully accomplished resulting in, for instance, the aster shown in figure 3(G). In this example, some of the arms exhibit 'deadlocked' bundles with filaments whose plus ends fail to reach the core of the aster. The deadlocked arms can be easily identified by the smeared distribution of motors, which is in marked contradiction with the fully sorted arms (figure 3(B)) along which only very few motors can be found.

#### 3.3. Large-scale organization

Thus far, our discussion has been focused on the organization of small groups of filaments and motors. On such scales, the self-organization process is not influenced much by changes in parameters such as the length of the filaments



**Figure 3.** (*A*) Dead-locked bundle after partial 'polarity sorting". In this specific example, the bundle consists of four groups of filaments whose minus ends are denoted by 1–4. (*B*) A typical six-arm aster formed during the MD simulations. (*C*)–(*E*) The evolution of a six-arm aster into an aster with four arms with right angles between them. (*F*) Asters formed in the motility assay. Unlike the simulations, these asters have many arms with a rather uniform angular distribution. Bar =  $10 \mu m$ . (*G*) A four-arm aster with two fully sorted and two deadlocked arms. The later are characterized by a smeared distribution of motors, while in the former the motors localize mainly in the core.

and the concentrations of filaments and motors. These system parameters determine the structure on much larger scales. Figure 4(A) shows the patterns formed after 16 s of simulations under various conditions. The 'phase diagram' of patterns is plotted as a function of the length of the filaments (horizontal axis) and the number ratio of motor aggregates to filaments (vertical axis). The figure shows that the system tends to evolve to one of the following two structures: (i) a collection of disconnected asters and (ii) an interconnected network of filaments. The former usually appears for short filaments and at high concentrations of motors, while the latter is generally formed for longer filaments and at lower motor concentrations. These trends can be rationalized as follows. Networks are formed by filaments that intersect with each other and become connected by motor aggregates at the intersection points. Their formation, therefore, greatly depends on the probability that the filaments cross each other, which obviously increases when the filaments are longer. Increasing the concentration of motors may have the opposite effect of disconnecting the networks into separated asters. This occurs due to the increase in the tensile stresses that the motors generate within the networks which, at sufficiently high concentrations, would rupture the network. The transition from network to asters with increasing the motor concentration has also been observed in our experiments (see figures 5(A)-(C)).

Another marked difference between systems of short and long filaments is the time needed for the system to reach the

steady state. Figure 4(B) shows snapshots of a system of short filaments at the initial state, after 4 s and after 16 s. The steady state, consisting of a number of disconnected asters, is clearly observed already after 4 s. By contrast, the system of long filaments (figure 4(C)) continues to evolve even after 16 s. In this case, a network resembling the structure shown in figure 5(A) is formed after 4 s of simulations. At this stage, the system is organized into a fairly homogenous network of semi-flexible filaments slightly bent by the motor forces. After 16 s, the network looks much less homogenous and exhibits longer and more flexible strands. These strands are formed when several filaments become connected by motors in a row into a fiber-like element (see the sequence of snapshots in figure 6(A)). An assembly of fibers has also been observed experimentally, as demonstrated in figure 6(B). A sequential assembly of actin filaments and bundles to each other by myosin II motors is believed to be the mechanism by which stress fibers are generated in cells [33]. The fibers in our simulations and experiments are formed by essentially the same mechanism. In stress fibers, the ends are anchored to focal adhesions, which provide mechanical resistance to actomyosin contractility. In our study, the fibers are free to slide, which is the reason that the system continues to evolve in time. Therefore, after very long durations (tens of seconds in simulations and a few minutes in experiments), the network either gets stuck in a mechanically meta-stable state or disintegrates into individual asters. The



**Figure 4.** (*A*) Patterns formed after 16 s of simulations (starting from a random distribution of filaments and motors). Different patterns in the 'phase diagram' correspond to systems with a different length of the filaments l and a different motor to filament number ratio M/F. (*B*) Time evolution of a system of short filaments that form disconnected asters. (*C*) Time evolution of a system of long filaments that form a network. The total length of the filaments in 4(*B*) and (*C*) is the same. There are three times less filaments in (*C*) compared to (*B*) and the length of each filament in (*C*) is three times longer than in (*B*).



**Figure 5.** (*A*)–(*C*) Steady-state structures of systems with different motor concentrations. At a low concentration of motors (*A*; concentration =  $0.64 \mu$ M) we see a network of interconnected filaments. The formation of asters begins at intermediate concentrations (*B*; concentration =  $1.0 \mu$ M); however, at this concentration the asters are still connected to each other. Finally, at high motor concentrations (*C*; concentration =  $2.8 \mu$ M), the network is ruptured and several distinct large asters are observed. Bar =  $20 \mu$ m.



**Figure 6.** (*A*) A sequence of simulation snapshots showing how the end-to-end attachment of filaments (at positions indicated by arrows) leads to the formation of long fibers. The total time difference between (i) and (vi) is 0.25 s. (*B*) Formation of a fiber from three filaments (white-red-white) in the experimental system. Concentrations: actin  $-15 \ \mu$ M, fascin  $-2.14 \ \mu$ M, myosin  $-1.5 \ \mu$ M. Bar = 20  $\mu$ m.

discrepancy between the timescales of the simulations and experiments can be attributed to several factors including: (i) possible inaccuracies in our estimation of values of the (many) model parameters (see SI-text 1 (available from http://stacks.iop.org/PhysBio/9/026005/mmedia)) and (ii) the fact that the experimental system is denser and, moreover, includes excluded volume interactions slowing down the dynamics.

#### 4. Discussion

In this paper, we presented a CG molecular simulation study of the self-organization of systems consisting of actin filaments and myosin II oligoclusters (intermediate-size aggregates of myosin II motors). The employment of a CG molecular description allows us to follow the dynamics from the molecular scales, where filaments are locally transported relative to each other, up to the macroscopically large scales, where the steady-state structures are formed. We identify the different steps taking place during this hierarchical process and clarify the role of the myosin II clusters as active linkers for the actin filaments. The observed dynamics and resulting patterns can be associated with the tendency of individual motor heads to progress toward the plus ends of actin filaments. Because the motor heads are grouped in oligoclusters, they can bind simultaneously to several filaments, which result in the formation of structures with high connectivity junctions such

as bundles, asters and networks. Our simulation results show a very nice agreement with experimental data from reconstituted actomyosin active gels. Most notably, both the experiments and simulations demonstrate how (for relatively long filaments and moderate motor densities) the system self-organizes into quasi two-dimensional networks which bear certain similarities to the structure of actin in the cell cortex. Another observation with direct relevance to cells is the ability of motors to generate fibers by inter-connecting overlapping filaments and bundles. A similar process may take place during the formation of contractile rings and stress fibers.

The ability of myosin II oligoclusters to induce the formation of largely distinct structures is in contrast with passive actin binding proteins (such as  $\alpha$ -actinin, fascin, etc) which are usually involved in specific actin-based structures. This unique feature of the myosin is related to both: (i) its activity as a motor enabling rotation and transportation of actin filaments and (ii) its association with oligoclusters consisting of several motors that can simultaneously bind to few filaments. In a future work, we plan a more extensive experimental-computational study of similar systems, which would focus on the influence of the structure of the motor clusters. We believe that complex dynamics and a very rich phase diagram will be observed upon variations in different features of the motor clusters, such as the processivity of the motors, their number and spatial organization within the clusters. This will allow us to extend our studies to relatively small clusters in 'two opposite directions', with more processive motors (e.g., kinesin tetramers) on the one hand and to very large myosin II aggregates (such as those found in muscle cells) on the other. We also plan to refine our model to include features such as excluded volume effects, treadmilling of actin filaments and jamming of motors that propagate along the same filament.

### Acknowledgment

ABG thanks the support of the Israel Science Foundation (grant no. 1534/10).

#### References

- [1] Alberts B, Bray D, Lewis J, Raff M, Roberts K and Watson J D 1994 *Molecular Biology of the Cell* (New York: Garland)
- Pollard T D and Borisy G G 2003 Cellular motility driven by assembly and disassembly of actin filaments *Cell* 112 453–65
- [3] Howard J 2001 Mechanics of Motor Proteins and the Cytoskeleton (Sunderland: Sinauer Associates Inc.)
- [4] Hirokawa N, Noda Y, Tanaka Y and Niwa S 2009 Kinesin superfamily motor proteins and intracellular transport *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 10 682–96
- [5] Backouche F, Haviv L, Groswasser D and Bernheim-Groswasser A 2006 Active gels: dynamics of patterning and self-organization *Phys. Biol.* 3 264–73
- [6] Surrey T, Nédélec F, Leibler S and Karsenti E 2001 Physical properties determining self-organization of motors and microtubules *Science* 292 1167–71
- [7] Koenderink G H Z, Dogic Z, Nakamura F, Bendix P M, MacKintosh F C, Hartwig J H, Stossel T P and Weitz D A 2009 An active biopolymer network controlled by molecular motors *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106** 15192–97

- [8] Liu A P and Fletcher D A 2009 Biology under construction: in vitro reconstitution of cellular function Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 10 644–50
- [9] Nédélec F J, Surrey T, Maggs A C and Leibler S F J 1997 Self-organization of microtubules and motors *Nature* 389 305–08
- [10] Tanaka-Takiguchi Y *et al* 2004 The elongation and contraction of actin bundles are induced by double-headed myosins in a motor concentration- dependent manner *J. Mol. Biol.* 341 467–76
- [11] Nakazawa H and Sekimoto K 1996 Polarity sorting in a bundle of actin filaments by two-headed myosins J. Phys. Soc. Japan 65 2404–07
- [12] Kruse K, Camalet S and Jülicher F 2001 Self-propagating patterns in active filament bundles *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 138101
- [13] Liverpool T B and Marchetti M C 2003 Organization and instabilities of entangled active polar filaments *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 138102
- [14] Jülicher F, Kruse K, Prost J and Joanny J F 2007 Active behavior of the cytoskeleton *Phys. Rep.* 449 3–28
- [15] Liverpool T B 2006 Active gels: where polymer physics meets cytoskeletal dynamics *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 364 3335–55
- [16] Bassetti B, Cosentino L M and Jona P 2000 A model for the self-organization of microtubules driven by molecular motors *Eur. Phys. J. B* 15 483–92
- [17] Kruse K, Joanny J F, Jülicher F, Prost J and Sekimoto K 2004 Asters, vortices, and rotating spirals in active gels of polar filaments *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92** 078101
- [18] Aranson I S and Tsimring L S 2005 Pattern formation of microtubules and motors: inelastic interaction of polar rods *Phys. Rev.* E 71 050901
- [19] Ziebert F and Zimmermann W 2005 Nonlinear competition between asters and stripes in filament-motor systems *Eur. Phys. J. E* 18 41–54
- [20] Lee H Y and Kardar M 2001 Macroscopic equations for pattern formation in mixtures of microtubules and molecular motors *Phys. Rev.* E 64 056113

- [21] Sankararaman S, Menon G I and Kumar P B S 2004 Self-organized pattern formation in motor-microtubule mixtures *Phys. Rev.* E 70 031905
- [22] Nédélec F and Surrey T 2001 Dynamics of microtubule aster formation by motor complexes C. R. Acad. Sci. 2 841–47
- [23] Nédélec F 2002 Computer simulations reveal motor properties generating stable anti-parallel microtubule interactions *J. Cell Biol.* **158** 1005–15
- [24] Head D A, Gompper G and Briels W J 2011 Microscopic basis for pattern formation and anomalous transport in two-dimensional active gels Soft Matter 7 3116–26
- [25] Åström J A, Sunil Kumar P B and Karttunen M 2009 Aster formation and rupture transition in semi-flexible fiber networks with mobile cross-linkers Soft Matter 5 2869–74
- [26] Spudich J A and Watt S 1971 The regulation of rabbit skeletal muscle contraction: I. Biochemical studies of the interaction of the tropomyosin–troponin complex with actin and the proteolytic fragments of myosin J. Biol. Chem. 246 4866–71
- [27] Margossian S S and Lowey S 1982 Preparation of myosin and its subfragments from rabbit skeletal muscle *Methods Enzymol* 85 55–71
- [28] Recombinant Fascin was prepared by a modification of the method of Ono S *et al* 1997 Identification of an actin binding region and a protein kinase C phospkorylation site on human fascin J. *Biol. Chem.* 272 2527–33
- [29] Perret E, Leung A, Morel A, Feracci H and Nassoy P 2002 Versatile decoration of glass surfaces to probe individual protein-protein interactions and cellular adhesion *Langmuir* 18 846–54
- [30] Gordon D *M Sc Thesis* Ben-Gurion University of the Negev (http://www.bgu.ac.il/<sup>c</sup>ofarago/daniel\_gordon.pdf)
- [31] Thoresen T, Lenz M and Gardel M L 2011 Reconstitution of contractile actomyosin bundles *Biophys J.* 100 2698–705
- [32] Zemel A and Mogilner A 2009 Motor-induced sliding of microtubule and actin bundles *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 11 4821–33
- [33] Tojkander S *et al* 2011 A molecular pathway for myosin II recruitment to stress fibers *Curr. Biol.* 21 539–50